

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/517,705	03/02/2000	Chunlin Liang	042390.P5771D	4202	
7	590 05/01/2006		EXAMINER		
Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP 12400 Wilshire Boulevard 7th Floor			LOKE, STEVEN HO YIN		
Los Angeles, CA 90025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2811		

DATE MAILED: 05/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/517,705	LIANG ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Steven Loke	2811

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Steven Loke	2811	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folked places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a National and a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of owing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid abaidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C	nce, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da	te of the final rejection		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this		in the final rejection, w	hichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final reject	tion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) o TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of eunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lat may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(INOTICE OF APPEAL	shortened statutory period for reply origer than three months after the mailing da	inally set in the final Of	fice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	poliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two mont	ths of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ext a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of t	he appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection 			ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further c	•	TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be	•		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in b	etter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	a corresponding number of finally rei	acted claims	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.	· -	colea ciaimis.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		moliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		mphant / menament	(1102 024).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be		timely filed amendm	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	anowabie ii subiliitted iii a separate,	timely med amendin	ent banceling the
7. Not purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🛛 will not be entered, or b) 🗌 wi	II be entered and an	explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pr			•
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1,16,18,20,22,23,26 and 27</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>24, 25</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	out before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence	ot be entered is necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ust done NOT place the configstion is	a condition for allows	naa haaayaa
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	but does NOT place the application if	ii condition for allowa	nice because.
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13. Other:			11
		Steven	LOKE
		$\rho_{\rm a}$. μ	- Xanino n
		Steven Primary E	P A

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The underline portion of claim 24 would require further consideration and/or search.